

BEFORE THE ARMONAGOROGOROGON CONNINCION 1 DOCKETED 2 CARL J. KUNASEK **CHAIRMAN** JUN 0 9 2000 3 JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER **DOCKETED BY** 4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER 5 DOCKET NO. T-03734A-99-0227 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 6 BLACKSTONE COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND DECISION NO. 62625 7 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS 8 SERVICES AS A RESELLER. ORDER Open Meeting June 6 and 7, 2000 10 Phoenix, Arizona 11 BY THE COMMISSION: 12 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 13 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 14 FINDINGS OF FACT 15 1. On April 29, 1999, Blackstone Communications Company ("Applicant") filed with the 16 Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide 17 competitive intrastate telecommunications services as a reseller within the State of Arizona. 18 2. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold 19 telecommunications providers ("resellers") were public service corporations subject to the 20 jurisdiction of the Commission. 21 3. Applicant is a Florida corporation authorized to do business in Arizona since 1999. 22 4. On November 17, 1999, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff 23 Report. 24 5. In the Staff Report, Staff reported that Applicant provided financial statements for the four 25 months ended April 2, 1999, which indicated that Applicant had total assets of \$337,052, equity of 26 \$337,052, and retained earnings of \$1,076. Based on the foregoing, Staff believes that Applicant

does not appear to have sufficient financial resources to make necessary plant additions or incur

operating losses. Accordingly, Staff recommended as a condition of certification that if Applicant

27

28

desires to charge customers for any prepayments, advances or deposits, Applicant must maintain for a minimum of one year, an escrow account equal to the total amount of any prepayments, advances and deposits that Applicant may collect from its customers. In the alternative, Applicant could file a letter stating that it does not currently charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits, and does not intend to do so in the future. If at some future date Applicant desired to charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits, it must file information with Staff that demonstrates Applicant's financial viability. Staff would review the information and provide Applicant its decision concerning financial viability within 30 days of receipt of the information. Staff believes that if Applicant experiences financial difficulty, there should be minimal impact to its customers. Customers are able to dial another reseller or facilities-based provider, and may permanently switch to another company without forfeiting any prepayment, advance or deposit.

 The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.

7. Staff recommended that:

- (a) Applicant's application for a Certificate should be approved without a hearing;
- (b) Applicant's intrastate toll service offerings should be classified as competitive;
- (c) Applicant's competitive services should be priced at the effective rates set forth in Applicant's tariffs and the maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by Applicant in its tariffs. The minimum rates for Applicant's competitive services should be Applicant's long run incremental costs of providing those services;
- (d) In the event that Applicant states only one rate in its tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the maximum rate;
- (e) Applicant should be required to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform with the rules if it is determined there is a conflict between Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules; and
- (f) The Applicant should be required to file its tariffs within 30 days of an Order in this matter, and in accordance with the Decision.
- 8. By Procedural Order dated December 3, 1999, and modified by Procedural Orders dated February 8, 2000 and April 27, 2000, the Commission set a deadline of May 2, 2000 to publish notice of the application.

DECISION NO. <u>しんしょう</u>

 On May 16, 2000, Applicant filed an affidavit of publication, as required in the Procedural Orders.

10. No entity has objected to Applicant's application nor has any entity requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
 - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 5 and 7 are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 5. As conditioned herein, Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate for providing competitive intrastate telecommunication services as a reseller in Arizona.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Application of Blackstone Communications Company for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold intrastate telecommunication services shall be, and the same is hereby granted, except that Blackstone Communications Company shall not initiate charges for prepayments, advances or deposits, including, but not limited to prepaid calling cards. In the event Blackstone Communications Company desires to initiate such charges, it must file information with the Commission that demonstrates the company's financial viability or establish an escrow account equal to the amount of any prepayments, advances or deposits. Staff shall review the information provided and file its recommendation concerning financial viability within thirty days of receipt of the financial information, for Commission approval.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the modified tariffs shall be filed within 30 days from the effective date of this Decision.

DECISION NO. 62625

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Blackstone Communications Company shall comply with 1 2 the Staff recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact No. 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 3 4 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 5 Yall Kenaul 6 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 8 9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive 10 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 11 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix. this 41H day of une, 2000. 12 13 BRIAN C. MCNEIL 14 EXECUTIVE SECKETARY 15 DISSENT 16 JR:bbs 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	BLACKSTONE COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
2	DOCKET NO.	T-03734A-99-0227
3		
4	Lance J. M. Steinhart 6455 East Johns Crossing	
5	Suite 285 Duluth, Georgia 30097	
6	Attorney for Blackstone Communications C	ompany
7	Luis Arias Blackstone Communications Company	
8	Blackstone Communications Company 7900 NW 36 th Street, 2 nd floor Miami, Florida 33166	
9	Lyn Farmer, Chief Counsel Legal Division	
10	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	N
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12	Deborah Scott, Director	
13	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	N
14	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		